

Message

---

**From:** Hough, Palmer [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=51CD0B0D81AC416FA265944D6E6575CE-PHOUGH]  
**Sent:** 8/12/2020 5:38:40 PM  
**To:** Schofield, Kate [Schofield.Kate@epa.gov]; Ebersole, Joe [Ebersole.Joe@epa.gov]; LaCroix, Matthew [LaCroix.Matthew@epa.gov]; McCracken, Betsy W. [mccracken.betsy@epa.gov]; Jensen, Amy A. [jensen.amy@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** Need your help ASAP

**Importance:** High

<!--[if lte mso 15 || CheckWebRef]-->

Hough, Palmer has shared a OneDrive for Business file with you. To view it, click the link below.



unsupported fish conclusions.docx

---

<!--[endif]-->

Folks

I think our FEIS comment letter would be much improved if we included specific reasons why the attached conclusions are not supported by the FEIS.

Can you add your thoughts, as concisely as possible, for each conclusion in the attached onedrive file?

-Palmer

---

Palmer Hough, Environmental Scientist  
office: 202.566.1374

Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds  
U.S. EPA Headquarters (MC 4504T)  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20460  
[www.epa.gov/wetlands](http://www.epa.gov/wetlands)